arXiv:1211.0586v1 [math.MG] 3 Nov 2012

ISOMETRIC EMBEDDINGS OF EUCLIDEAN POLYHEDRA

B. MINEMYER

Abstract. We prove the existence of isometric embeddings of Euclidean Polyhedra into Euclidean Space. We then use the same methods to prove that every pro-Euclidean space admits an isometric embedding into Euclidean Space of the appropriate dimension.

1. Introduction

A *Euclidean polyhedron* $\mathcal{P}$ is a metric space which admits a triangulation[1] so that every k-dimensional simplix of the triangulation is linearly isometric to a simplex in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^k$. For this paper we will assume that $\mathcal{P}$ admits a triangulation which is locally finite and has countably many vertices. $\mathcal{P}$ has dimension n if the maximal dimension of any simplex of a triangulation is n. In the literature such spaces are sometimes refered to a *Polyhedral Spaces*. We use the term Euclidean polyhedron to be consistent with [10]

The study of isometries of such spaces into Euclidean space has a rather rich history which has culminated in the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an n-dimensional Euclidean polyhedron, let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^n$ be a short map*[2]*, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then f is ϵ-close*[3] *to a piecewise linear intrinsic isometry*[4]*.*

Corollary 1.2. *Every n-dimensional Euclidean polyhedron admits a PL intrinsic isometry into $\mathbb{E}^n$.*

Theorem 1.1 is proved in [1] and for a brief history of this result please see [10]. Some of the relevant papers to this result are included in the references for this paper.

The main results of this paper are the next two theorems.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an n-dimensional Euclidean polyhedron, let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ be a short map, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then*

1. *f is ϵ-close to a PL intrinsic isometric embedding if $N \geq 3n + 1$.*
2. *f is ϵ-close to a PL intrinsic isometric embedding if $N \geq 3n$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is compact.*
3. *f is ϵ-close to an intrinsic isometric embedding if $N \geq 2n + 1$.*

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an n-dimensional Euclidean polyhedron. Then $\mathcal{P}$ admits a PL intrinsic isometric embedding into $\mathbb{E}^{3n+1}$, an intrinsic isometric embedding into $\mathbb{E}^{2n+1}$, and a PL intrinsic isometric embedding into $\mathbb{E}^{3n}$ if $\mathcal{P}$ is compact.*

Date: November 2, 2012.

Key words and phrases. Differential geometry, Discrete geometry, Euclidean polyhedra, Polyhedral Space, intrinsic isometry.

[1] All triangulations in this paper are simplicial

[2] That is, a map which does not increase distances. Or a 1-Lipschitz map

[3] with respect to the sup metric

[4] we will define this in section 2

Theorem 1.1 is the major tool for the proof of these results, and we should note that the first statement of Corollary 1.4 was essentially proved by V. A. Zalgaller in [12] for $n \leq 4$ and then generalized to arbitrary dimension by S. Krat in [6]. We will use general position arguments and a few tricks from Nash in [8] and [9] to prove Theorem 1.3.

It is worth noting that Theorem 1.3 asserts the existence of an *intrinsic* isometric embedding instead of the more common notion of a *path* isometric embedding. Petrunin in [10] shows that every intrinsic isometry is a path isometry. But if our space $\mathcal{P}$ is proper then any path isometric embedding is an intrinsic isometric embedding by Enrico Le Donne in [4]. So the two notions are equivalent if $\mathcal{P}$ is proper.

Essentially the same proof of Theorem 1.3 (3) proves the following.

Theorem 1.5. *A pro-Euclidean space of rank at most n admits an intrinsic isometric embedding into $\mathbb{E}^{2n+1}$.*

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank A. Petrunin who was kind enough to respond to an email from a graduate student who he did not know. His response and his unpublished lecture notes [11] were a huge help in locating and understanding the contents of papers that only existed in Russian (see [1] and [12]). I would also like to thank Pedro Ontaneda for his patience in listening to my ideas.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **General Position.** A set of points in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is said to be in *general position* if no $l+1$ points lie on an $l-1$ dimensional affine subspace for any $1 \leq l \leq N$. Suppose k and N are integers with $k \leq N$. A set of points in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is said to be in *k-general position* if no $l+1$ points lie on an $l-1$ dimensional affine subspace for any $1 \leq l \leq k$.

An important Lemma, whose proof is contained in [5], is the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an n-dimensional polyhedron with a fixed triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ whose vertex set is $\mathcal{V}$ and let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ be a simplicial map (with respect to $\mathcal{T}$). Let $f(\mathcal{V})$ denote the collection of images of the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$. If $f(\mathcal{V})$ is in $(2n+1)$-general position (so in particular we must have $N \geq 2n+1$) then f is an embedding.*

Corollary 2.2 (Corollary of the proof of Lemma 2.2)**.** *Let $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{V}$, f and $f(\mathcal{V})$ be as in the Lemma. If $f(\mathcal{V})$ is in $(2n)$-general position then for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$ $f|_{ST(p)}$ is an embedding where $ST(p)$ denotes the closed star of p with respect to the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$.*

2.2. **Pullback Metrics, Intrinsic Isometries and Pro-Euclidean Spaces.** What follows is almost directly from [10].

Let $(\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, d_{\mathcal{Y}})$ be metric spaces and $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ a continuous map. f is *short* if for any two points $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ we have that $d_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, x')$, and f is *strictly short* if $d_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x), f(x')) < d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, x')$ for any $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ with $x \neq x'$.

Now, given two points $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$, a sequence of points $x = x_0, x_1, ..., x_{k-1}, x_k = x'$ is called an *ϵ-chain* from x to x' if $d_{\mathcal{X}}(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq \epsilon$ for any i. Define:

$$\operatorname{pull}_{f,\epsilon}(x, x') := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i)) \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over all ϵ-chains from x to x'. It is not hard to see that for any $\epsilon > 0$ $\operatorname{pull}_{f,\epsilon}$ is almost a metric on $\mathcal{X}$. The only issue is that we may have $\operatorname{pull}_{f,\epsilon}(x, x') = 0$ for some

$x \neq x'$. But $\mathrm{pull}_{f,\epsilon}$ is clearly monotone nonincreasing with respect to ϵ. So it makes sense to define the limit

$$\mathrm{pull}_f(x, x') := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathrm{pull}_{f,\epsilon}(x, x')$$

where this limit may be infinite. We call pull_f the *pullback metric* for f.

A map $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is an *intrinsic isometry* if

$$d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, x') = \mathrm{pull}_f(x, x')$$

for all $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$.

A compact metric space $\mathcal{X}$ is called a *pro-Euclidean space of rank at most* n if it can, in a metric sense, be represented as an inverse limit

$$\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{P}_k$$

of an inverse system $(\mathcal{P}_k, \varphi_{j,k})$ where each $\mathcal{P}_k$ is a Euclidean n-polyhedron and for all $j \leq k$ the map $\varphi_{j,k} : \mathcal{P}_k \to \mathcal{P}_j$ is a short map. The "in a metric sense" means that for all $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ we demand that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d_{\mathcal{P}_k}(\psi_k(x), \psi_k(x')) = d_{\mathcal{P}}(x, x')$$

where $\psi_k : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}_k$ is the projection map. Here the inverse limit takes place in the category where the objects are compact metric spaces and the morphisms are short maps. So in particular all of the maps (ψ_k) and $(\varphi_{j,k})$ are assumed to be short.

2.3. **Important Lemmas.** The following Lemma is proved in [6]

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an n-dimensional Euclidean polyhedron, let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ (where $N \geq n$) be a short map, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then f is ϵ-close to a short piecewise linear map.*

It is clear that any short map f into Euclidean space can be approximated by a strictly short map by considering the sequence of maps $(1 - \frac{1}{m})f$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This next Lemma builds on the preceeding in the case of embeddings.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an n-dimensional Euclidean polyhedron, let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ (where $N \geq 2n+1$) be a short map, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then f is ϵ-close to a short piecewise linear embedding.*

Proof. By the preceeding Lemma and the comment thereafter we know that we can approximate f by a strictly short PL map h_0 with $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ accuracy. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulation of $\mathcal{P}$ so that h_0 is linear with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Recall from section 1 that we are assuming that $\mathcal{T}$ is locally finite and has countably infinitely many vertices.

Let $(v_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an ordering of the vertices. We will construct a sequence of PL functions (with respect to $\mathcal{T}$) $(h_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathbb{E}^N$ in such a way that for all k the images of the first k vertices under h_k are in general position, h_k is strictly short for all k, and so that the limit converges uniformly to a short embedding h. h_k will be a distance no more than $\frac{\epsilon}{2^{k+1}}$ from h_{k-1} and therefore h will be a distance no more than ϵ from f.

The construction of h_k is recursive. We suppose that h_{k-1} is defined and we use this to construct h_k (where we consider our short PL map h_0 to be the h_0 of this sequence). Let us begin the construction of h_k. Define $h_k(v_i) := h_{k-1}(v_i)$ for all $i \neq k$. The work is to decide the value of $h_k(v_k)$.

Let us first consider a single simplex S of dimension l which contains the vertex v_k in its boundary. The intrinsic metric on $\mathcal{P}$ induces a quadratic form G_S on $\mathbb{E}^l$ associated to S (see [7]) which is positive definite since $\mathcal{P}$ is a Euclidean polyhedron. Similarly the map h_{k-1} induces a positive definite quadratic form G_{k-1} associated to S, and the fact that h_{k-1} is a strictly short map when restricted to S is equivalent to $G_S - G_{k-1}$ being a positive definite quadratic form.

Now choose some arbitrary value for $h_k(v_k)$ and let $\delta_k = |h_{k-1}(v_k) - h_k(v_k)|_{\mathbb{E}_N}$. It is easy to see that the quadratic form induced by h_k associated with S is of the form $G_{k-1} + D_k$ where the form $D_k \to 0$ as $\delta_k \to 0$. Then for h_k to be strictly short on S we need the form $G_S - (G_{k-1} + D_k)$ to be positive definite. But the collection of positive definite forms on $\mathbb{E}^l$ is open and so we can find D_k close to 0 so that $G_S - (G_{k-1} + D_k)$ is positive definite. So if we choose δ_k small enough so that $G_S - (G_{k-1} + D_k)$ is positive definite then the map h_k will be short on S.

Since $\mathcal{P}$ is locally finite, v_k is contained in $m < \infty$ simplices. So choose δ_k to be the minimum value chosen over all m simplices. Then h_k is strictly short on every simplex which contains v_k. But h_k agrees with h_{k-1} on every simplex which does not contain v_k and thus h_k is srictly short over all of $\mathcal{P}$.

To ensure that h_k is close enough to h_{k-1} we just make sure that $\delta_k < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{k+1}}$.

Now we finish the construction of h_k. Let δ_k be defined as above. Then consider $b(h_{k-1}(v_k), \delta_k)$, the open ball of radius δ_k centered at $h_{k-1}(v_k)$. For almost any choice of $y \in b(h_{k-1}(v_k), \delta_k)$ the collection $\{h_k(v_1), ..., h_k(v_{k-1}), y\}$ will be in $(2n+1)$-general position. So choose $h_k(v_k)$ to be some such point.

This completes the construction of h_k. Notice that the sequence $(h_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly, and the limit will map the vertices of $\mathcal{P}$ into $(2n+1)$-general position. Therefore h is an embedding. h will be (strictly) short on each simplex of $\mathcal{P}$ and will be ϵ close to f.

$\square$

This next Lemma is proved in [10]

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be metric spaces with $\mathcal{X}$ compact and let a continuous map $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be such that*

$$\sup_{x,x' \in \mathcal{X}} pull_f(x, x') < \infty.$$

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(f, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for any short map $h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$d_{\mathcal{Y}}(f(x), h(x)) < \delta \text{ for any } x \in \mathcal{X}$$

we have that

$$pull_f(x, x') < pull_h(x, x') + \epsilon$$

for any $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (1): Let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ be a short map, where $N \geq 3n + 1$. By Lemma 2.3 we may assume that f is strictly short and linear with respect to some triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{P}$. Define $f_1 : \mathcal{P} \to E^{2n+1}$ to be the first $2n + 1$ coordinate functions of f and let $f_2 : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^{N-2n-1}$ to be the remmaining coordinate functions. Notice that since $N \geq 3n + 1$, $N - 2n - 1 \geq n$.

Let S be any simplex of $\mathcal{T}$ and let G_f, G_{f_1}, and G_{f_2} denote the quadratic forms associated with S induced by f, f_1, and f_2 respectively. Then we have that $G_f = G_{f_1} + G_{f_2}$. Let G be the quadratic form associated to S which is induced by the intrinsic metric on $\mathcal{P}$. Since f is short we know that $G - G_f = G - G_{f_1} - G_{f_2}$ is positive definite. So in particular $G - G_{f_2}$ is positive definite with respect to any simplex S and thus yields a Euclidean metric on $\mathcal{P}$. Therefore by Lemma 2.4 we can approximate f_1 (with $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ accuracy) by a simplicial (with respect to $\mathcal{T}$) embedding h_1 such that $(G - G_{f_2}) - G_{h_1}$ is positive definite with respect to every simplex S.

Now by Theorem 1.1, since $G - G_{h_1}$ is positive definite with respect to every simplex of $\mathcal{T}$, we can approximate f_2 (with accuracy $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$) by a map h_2 such that h_2 is linear with respect to a subdivision $\mathcal{T}'$ of $\mathcal{T}$ and satisfies that $G_{h_2} = G - G_{h_1}$ with respect to every simplex of $\mathcal{T}'$. Then since h_1 is linear with respect to $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ is a subdivision of $\mathcal{T}$, h_1 is linear with respect to $\mathcal{T}'$. Thus our desired isometric embedding is $h := h_1 \oplus h_2 : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (2): Let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ be a short map, where $N \geq 3n$, and assume that $\mathcal{P}$ is compact. By Lemma 2.3 we may assume that f is strictly short and linear with respect to some (finite) triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{P}$. Let $(f_i)_{i=1}^N$ denote the coordinate functions of f.

By Lemma 2.4 we could approximate f by a short PL embedding. But that is not good enough here. What we need is to approximate f by a short PL embedding F which also satisfies that the first $2N$ coordinate functions of F, when considered as a function into $\mathbb{E}^{2N}$, also maps the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ to points which are in general position. What follows is the technical proof, but the idea is rather simple. What we do is, one by one, approximate each coordinate function f_i of f with a real valued function F_i in such a way that the first i coordinate functions map the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ into general position when considered as a function into $\mathbb{E}^i$. So F_1 maps no two vertices to the same number, F_2 is such that the map $F_1 \oplus F_2$ maps no three vertices onto the same line, etc. It should be noted that this procedure does not use the assumption that $\mathcal{P}$ is compact. That will be used afterward.

To be specific, what we do is approximate each real-valued function f_i by a real-valued function F_i on $\mathcal{P}$ in such a way that:

1. Each F_i is linear with respect to $\mathcal{T}$.
2. For each $1 \leq k \leq N$ the map $g_k : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^k$ defined by the first k functions $(F_i)_{i=1}^k$ is strictly short and maps the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ to points which are in general position.
3. F_k will be an $\frac{\epsilon}{2N}$ approximation of F_{k-1} for all k. So the map $F : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ defined by all of the functions $(F_i)_{i=1}^N$ will be $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ close to f and strictly short.

This construction is very similar to that of Lemma 2.4. The construction is recursive in both the functions and the vertices. So let $(v_j)_{j=1}^M$ be the vertex set of $\mathcal{T}$ and suppose that F_{k-1} has already been defined for some k (the map f_1 will serve as F_0) and that F_k has been defined on all of the vertices before some v_j ($F_1(v_1) := f_1(v_1)$ so there is no trouble starting the recursion). Note that this means that we have already defined the map g_{k-1} satisfying (2) above, and we have defined the map g_k on all of the vertices whose index is less than j.

Consider the real interval $(f_k(v_j) - \delta_{jk}, f_k(v_j) + \delta_{jk})$ for some $\delta_{jk} > 0$. We claim that almost every point in this interval will work for the image of $F_k(v_j)$. To see this, consider the line segment in $\mathbb{E}^k$ defined by the curve $t \to (F_1(v_j), ..., F_{k-1}(v_j), t)$ for $t \in (f_k(v_j) - \delta_{jk}, f_k(v_j) + \delta_{jk})$. The intersection of this line segment with an affine (proper) subspace $\mathbb{A}$ of $\mathbb{E}^k$ is either empty, a point, or the whole line segment. But any affine subspace $\mathbb{A}$ which contains the entire line segment also contains the line determined by this line segment. In particular, if $\mathbb{A}$ contains the entire line segment then $\mathbb{A}$ contains the point $(F_1(v_j), ..., F_{k-1}(v_j), 0) = (g_{k-1}(v_j), 0)$. So if we project $\mathbb{A}$ onto the first

$k-1$ coordinates we see that $g_{k-1}(v_j)$ lies on some affine subspace $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{E}^{k-1}$ whose dimension is 1 less than $\mathbb{A}$.

Now let $(v_{i_1}, ..., v_{i_l})$ be some collection of l vertices each of whose index is less than j and consider the $l-1$ dimensional affine subspace $\mathrm{Span}(g_k(v_{i_1}), ..., g_k(v_{i_l})) \subset \mathbb{E}^k$. We want to define $F_k(v_j)$ so that $g_k(v_j)$ does not lie on this affine subspace (if $l < k+1$). The only situation in which we cannot define $F_k(v_j)$ is if $\mathrm{Span}(g_k(v_{i_1}), ..., g_k(v_{i_l}))$ contains the entire line segment $(F_1(v_j), ..., F_{k-1}(v_j), t)$ for $t \in (f_k(v_j)-\delta_{jk}, f_k(v_j)+\delta_{jk})$. But if this was the case then there would exist an $l-2$ dimensional affine subspace in $\mathbb{E}^{k-1}$ which contained each of the points $(g_{k-1}(v_{i_1}), ..., g_{k-1}(v_{i_l}))$, contradicting the inductive hypothesis that the map g_{k-1} mapped the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ to points in $\mathbb{E}^{k-1}$ which are in general position.

Thus for any collection of l vertices (with $l < k+1$) whose indices are less than j, the affine subspace $\mathrm{Span}(g_k(v_{i_1}), ..., g_k(v_{i_l}))$ intersects the curve $(F_1(v_j), ..., F_{k-1}(v_j), t)$ for $t \in (f_k(v_j) - \delta_{jk}, f_k(v_j) + \delta_{jk})$ in at most one point. Since there are only finitely many such affine subspaces almost any selection for $F_k(v_j)$ in $(f_k(v_j) - \delta_{jk}, f_k(v_j) + \delta_{jk})$ will work to satisfy (2).

Clearly the map $F := g_N$ will be $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ close to f for $\delta_{jk} < \frac{\epsilon}{4N}$. We can make sure our new map is still short at each step in the recursive procedure by an argument very similar to that of Lemma 2.4, so we omit it here. This completes the construction of the map F.

Notice that since $N \geq 3n \geq 2n+1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map F is a short embedding which is linear with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. But the reason that we went through the previous construction is for the following. Consider the function $h_1 : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^{2n}$ defined by the first $2n$ coordinate functions of F. By (2) of the construction, h_1 also maps the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ to points in $\mathbb{E}^{2n}$ which are in general position. Therefore by Corollary 2.2, h_1 is an embedding when restricted to the closed star of any point of P.

Consider the collection $\{st(p) | p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ where $st(p)$ denotes the open star of p with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is compact this collection has a Lebesgue number $\delta > 0$. Let Δ denote the diagonal[5] of $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ and let $b(\Delta, \delta)$ denote the open neighborhood of radius δ of Δ. Then $b(\Delta, \delta)^C$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ and is therefore compact. Consider the function $\varphi : b(\Delta, \delta)^C \to \mathbb{E}$ defined by $\varphi(x, y) := |F(x) - F(y)|_{E^N}$. φ is positive over all of $b(\Delta, \delta)^C$. Then since $b(\Delta, \delta)^C$ is compact there exists $\mu > 0$ such that $\varphi(x, y) > \mu$ for all $(x, y) \in b(\Delta, \delta)^C$.

Now define $h_2 : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^{N-2n}$ to be the last $N-2n$ coordinates of F. We apply Theorem 1.1 to h_2 in exactly the same fashion as we did in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (1) to obtain a map $\bar{h_2}$ so that $h := h_1 \oplus \bar{h_2}$ is a linear isometry on a subdivision $\mathcal{T}'$ of $\mathcal{T}$. But now we apply Theorem 1.1 with $\frac{\mu}{3}$ accuracy. Then $h(x) \neq h(y)$ for any $(x, y) \in b(\Delta, \delta)^C$, and $h(x) \neq h(y)$ for any $(x, y) \in b(\Delta, \delta)$ since h_1 is injective on the δ neighborhood of every point.

□

Remark: After beginning the writing of this manuscript, Tom Farrell showed me a paper of Enrico Le Donne [4]. In it he uses a very similar argument as the second half of the argument above in his proof of Lemma 5.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (3): Just like the beginning of the last two proofs, let $f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{E}^N$ be a short map, where $N \geq 2n+1$. By Lemma 2.4 we may assume that f is a strictly short embedding which is linear with respect to some triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{P}$.

We will construct two sequences of PL maps $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $(h_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. For any k we will use h_k to construct f_{k+1} and then use f_{k+1} to construct h_{k+1}. f_k will be a strictly short embedding which is an $\alpha_k > 0$ approximation of h_{k-1}, and h_k will be an intrinsic isometry which is a $\beta_k > 0$ approximation of f_k. There will exist a sequence of subdivisions $(\mathcal{T}^k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that h_k and f_{k+1} will be linear with respect to $\mathcal{T}^k$. Our desired map will be $h := \lim_{k\to\infty} h_k$. h will not be PL since the subdivisions of $\mathcal{T}$ get finer and finer. The reason for the limit is because, in general, each f_k will not be an isometry and each h_k will not be an embedding. But for judiciously chosen $\alpha_k's$ and $\beta_k's$ the limit will be an isometric embedding which is ϵ close to f. The following diagram shows the order in which we construct the maps along with our desired accuracy at each step.

---

[5] $\Delta = \{(x, x) | x \in \mathcal{P}\}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_1 \arrow[d, "\beta_1"] & \cdot & \cdot & f_{k-1} \arrow[d, "\beta_{k-1}"] & f_k \arrow[d, "\beta_k"] & f_{k+1} \arrow[d, "\beta_{k+1}"] & \cdot & \cdot \\
h_1 \arrow[ur, "\alpha_2"] & \cdot & \cdot \arrow[ur, "\alpha_{k-1}"] & h_{k-1} \arrow[ur, "\alpha_k"] & h_k \arrow[ur, "\alpha_{k+1}"] & h_{k+1} \arrow[ur, "\alpha_{k+2}"] & \cdot & \cdot
\end{tikzcd}$$

We start the procedure by defining $f_1 := f$ which is a strictly short embedding. We then use Theorem 1.1 to define h_1 to be an intrinsic isometry which is α_1 close to f_1 and linear with respect to a subdivision $\mathcal{T}^1$ of $\mathcal{T}$. Assuming h_{k-1} is defined we use Lemma 2.4 to construct a strictly short embedding f_k which is α_k close to h_{k-1} and linear on the same triangulation $\mathcal{T}^{k-1}$ that h_{k-1} is. We then again invoke Theorem 1.1 to construct an intrinsic isometry h_k which is β_k close to f_k and linear on a subdivision $\mathcal{T}^k$ of $\mathcal{T}^{k-1}$. If at each step we choose $\alpha_k, \beta_k < \frac{\epsilon}{4^k}$ then the sequence $(h_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ will converge uniformally to a function h which is ϵ close to f. So what is left is showing that, at each step, we can choose the $\alpha_k's$ and $\beta_k's$ small enough so that the limit will be an isometric embedding.

We will use two separate tricks. The trick for the intrinsic isometry is due to Petrunin in [10] and the trick for the embedding is due to Nash in [8].

Let us first consider the problem of self intersections in the limit. Enumerate the closed simplices of $\mathcal{T}$ in some way. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$S_k := \{(x,y) | x \text{ and } y \text{ are both contained in the first } k \text{ simplices and } |f_1(x) - f_1(y)|_{\mathbb{E}^N} \geq 2^{-k}\}$$

Clearly the set S_k is compact. Since f_k is an embedding the function $\varphi_k : S_k \to \mathbb{E}$ defined by $\varphi_k(x,y) = |f_k(x) - f_k(y)|$ obtains a minimum $\mu_k > 0$. So by choosing $\beta_k < \frac{\mu_k}{4}$ and $\alpha_l, \beta_l < \frac{\mu_k}{4^l}$ for all $l > k$ we ensure that any pair of points in S_k cannot come together in the limit. Eventually every pair of distinct points is contained in some S_k and thus the limit function h is an embedding.

Now we consider the problem of the limit being an intrinsic isometry. Since $\mathrm{pull}_{h_k}(x,x') = d_{\mathcal{P}}(x,x')$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x, x' \in \mathcal{P}$ we have that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathrm{pull}_{h_k}(x,x') = d_{\mathcal{P}}(x,x')$ for any x, x'. And it is clear that $\mathrm{pull}_h(x,x') \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathrm{pull}_{h_k}(x,x') = d_{\mathcal{P}}(x,x')$, so what we need to show is that $d_{\mathcal{P}}(x,x') \leq \mathrm{pull}_h(x,x')$ for any $x, x' \in \mathcal{P}$.

Just as before we enumerate the closed simplices of $\mathcal{T}$ in some way. Now we define U_k to be the union of the first k simplices. Notice that U_k is compact for all k and that $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k = \mathcal{P}$. Let $g_k := h_k|_{U_k}$ and notice that for all x, x' in a connected component of U_k we have that $\mathrm{pull}_{g_k}(x,x') \geq \mathrm{pull}_{h_k}(x,x')$. So choose $\delta(g_k, \frac{1}{k})$ as in Lemma 2.5 and choose $\alpha_l, \beta_l < \frac{\delta(g_k, \frac{1}{k})}{4^l}$ for all $l > k$. We will then have that $|h(x) - g_k(x)|_{\mathbb{E}^N} < \delta(g_k, \frac{1}{k})$ for all $x \in U_k$ and thus for all x, x' in the same component of U_k we have

$$\mathrm{pull}_h(x,x') + \frac{1}{k} > \mathrm{pull}_{g_k}(x,x') \geq \mathrm{pull}_{h_k}(x,x') = d_{\mathcal{P}}(x,x').$$

Any two points of $\mathcal{P}$ are eventually in the same component of some U_k which completes the proof.

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a pro-Euclidean space of rank at most n and let $(\mathcal{P}_k, \varphi_{j,k})$ be the inverse system associated with $\mathcal{X}$. For all k let $\psi_k : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}_k$ be the projection map. Remember that every map associated with this system is short.

Given $\epsilon_{k+1} > 0$ and a PL intrinsic isometric embedding $f_k : \mathcal{P}_k \to \mathbb{E}^{2n+1}$, by Theorem 1.3 (3) there exists a Pl intrinsic isometric embedding $f_{k+1} : \mathcal{P}_{k+1} \to \mathbb{E}^{2n+1}$ such that

$$|f_{k+1}(x) - (f_k \circ \varphi_{k+1,k})(x)|_{\mathbb{E}^{2n+1}} < \epsilon_{k+1}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$.

Then define $h_k := f_k \circ \psi_k$ for all k. What needs to be shown is that the values for ϵ_k can be chosen in such a way that the sequence (h_k) converges uniformally to an intrinsic isometric embedding. The fact that the sequence of epsilons can be chosen so that the sequence (h_k) converges uniformally to an intrinsic isometry is identical to the proof by Petrunin in [10] and will be omitted here. To see that we can choose the sequence (ϵ_k) so that the sequence (h_k) converges to an embedding just consider the collection of sets

$$\Omega_k := \{(x, x') \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \mid d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, x') \geq 2^{-k}\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{P}_k$ and because Ω_k is compact, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists k' such that $\psi_{k'}(x) \neq \psi_{k'}(x')$ for all $(x, x') \in \Omega_k$. So for every k choose $k' > (k-1)'$ which satisfies the above. Thus $h_{k'}(x) \neq h_{k'}(x')$ for all $(x, x') \in \Omega_k$. Then in a similar fashion as the proof of Theorem 1.3 (3) we let

$$\delta_k := \inf\{|h_{k'}(x) - h_{k'}(x')|_{E^{2n+1}} \mid (x, x') \in \Omega_k\} > 0.$$

We can systematically choose the $\epsilon_k's$ small enough with respect to the $\delta_k's$ to ensure that $h := \lim_{k\to\infty} h_k$ is an embedding in exactly the same manner as the proof of Theorem 1.3 (3).

$\square$

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Akopyan, *PL-analogue of Nash-Keiper theorem*, preliminary version (in Russian): http://www.moebiuscontest.ru/files/2007/akopyan.pdf www.moebiuscontest.ru
2. A. V. Akopyan and A. S. Tarasov, *A constructive proof of Kirszbraun's theorem*, Math. Notes, Vol. 84 (2008), no. 5-6, pp. 725-728
3. U. Brehm, *Extensions of distance reducing mappings to piecewise congruent mappings on* $\mathbb{R}^m$, J. Geom., Vol. 16 (1981), no. 2, pp. 187-193
4. Enrico Le Donne, *Lipschitz and path isometric embeddings of metric spaces*, Geom Dedicata, Springer Netherlands (2012)
5. J. Hocking and G. Young, *Topology*, Dover (1988), 214–215.
6. S. Krat, *Approximation problems in length geometry*, Ph. D. Thesis, The Pennsylvania State University (2004)
7. B. Minemyer, *Simplicial Isometric Embeddings of Metric Polyhedra*, in preparation
8. John Nash, *C^1 Isometric Imbeddings*, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 60 (1954), pp. 383-396
9. John Nash, *The Imbedding Problem for Riemannian Manifolds*, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 63, No. 1 (1956), pp. 20-63
10. A. Petrunin, *On Intrinsic Isometries to Euclidean Space*, St. Petersburg Math. J., Vol. 22 (2011), No. 5, pp. 803-812
11. A. Petrunin, Unpublished Lecture Notes, pp. 49-50
http://www.math.psu.edu/petrunin/teach-old/m497C-2011-F-MASS/euclid2alexandrov.pdf

12. V. A. Zalgaller, *Isometric imbedding of polyhedra*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (in Russian), Vol. 123 (1958), No. 4, pp. 599-601

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Binghamton University, Binghamton, New York 13902
E-mail address: `minemyer@math.binghamton.edu`